BUSINESS

Paper 3 Case Study MARK SCHEME Maximum Mark: 100 9609/33 May/June 2019

Published

This mark scheme is published as an aid to teachers and candidates, to indicate the requirements of the examination. It shows the basis on which Examiners were instructed to award marks. It does not indicate the details of the discussions that took place at an Examiners' meeting before marking began, which would have considered the acceptability of alternative answers.

Mark schemes should be read in conjunction with the question paper and the Principal Examiner Report for Teachers.

Cambridge International will not enter into discussions about these mark schemes.

Cambridge International is publishing the mark schemes for the May/June 2019 series for most Cambridge IGCSE[™], Cambridge International A and AS Level and Cambridge Pre-U components, and some Cambridge O Level components.

Generic Marking Principles

These general marking principles must be applied by all examiners when marking candidate answers. They should be applied alongside the specific content of the mark scheme or generic level descriptors for a question. Each question paper and mark scheme will also comply with these marking principles.

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 1:

Marks must be awarded in line with:

- the specific content of the mark scheme or the generic level descriptors for the question
- the specific skills defined in the mark scheme or in the generic level descriptors for the question
- the standard of response required by a candidate as exemplified by the standardisation scripts.

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 2:

Marks awarded are always whole marks (not half marks, or other fractions).

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 3:

Marks must be awarded **positively**:

- marks are awarded for correct/valid answers, as defined in the mark scheme. However, credit
 is given for valid answers which go beyond the scope of the syllabus and mark scheme,
 referring to your Team Leader as appropriate
- marks are awarded when candidates clearly demonstrate what they know and can do
- marks are not deducted for errors
- marks are not deducted for omissions
- answers should only be judged on the quality of spelling, punctuation and grammar when these features are specifically assessed by the question as indicated by the mark scheme. The meaning, however, should be unambiguous.

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 4:

Rules must be applied consistently e.g. in situations where candidates have not followed instructions or in the application of generic level descriptors.

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 5:

Marks should be awarded using the full range of marks defined in the mark scheme for the question (however; the use of the full mark range may be limited according to the quality of the candidate responses seen).

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 6:

Marks awarded are based solely on the requirements as defined in the mark scheme. Marks should not be awarded with grade thresholds or grade descriptors in mind.

Question			Answer		Marks	
1	Analyse	the risks to AC of	the economic for	ecasts in Appendix 2.	10	
	Level	Knowledge 3 marks	Application 2 marks	Analysis 5 marks		
	2	3 marks Good knowledge shown of risks/ impact of them.	2 marks Points well applied to the case	4–5 marks Good use of theory/reasoned argument to explain the likely impact of risks		
	1	1–2 marks Knowledge shown of risks/impact of them.	1 mark Some application to the case	1–3 marks Some use of theory/reasoned argument to explain the likely impact of risks		
	0 No creditable content					
	Ci ma Oi		ors from text as we C as a business, no	t e.g. workers unless link is		
	• Defin - E - II - L	/threats – external itions of terms from Economic growth – nflation – increase Jnemployment – pr	n Appendix 2	force without work		
	- F - II - F - II - N • Linkir rates	of information from Reduction, 2.5% po positive ncrease in inflation Reduction in unemp ncrease in interest No forecast change ng change in econo and plan to borrow	ints, in forecast rat ; 3% points bloyment; 2% points rate of 3% points in exchange rate omic data to relevan y \$7m, rising incom	what this may mean for AC e of economic growth but still s nt impacts on AC e.g. interest es / falling unemployment sts of raw materials		

Question	Answer	Marks
1	 Analysis Reasoned chain of argument. e.g. economic growth is set to slow (not become negative) therefore incomes may not be rising as fast. This may mean a slowdown in the growth in demand for luxuries, such as chocolate products. Inflation set to rise. This could result in an increase in costs for AC e.g. cost of cocoa beans. Inflation could reduce the spending power of consumers resulting in less demand for chocolate. Unemployment set to fall, risk is that AC may need to pay higher wages and therefore impact on costs and pricing and therefore demand. Increase rates set to rise. This will increase the cost of borrowing and therefore impact AC's investment decisions such as the modernisation of the factory. 	

Question	Answer	Marks
2(a)(i)	Refer to the table in Appendix 1. Calculate the:	2
	Current capacity utilisation at Factory S.	
	Formula: $\frac{\text{Current production}}{\text{Full capacity}} \times 100 (1) \text{ if no calculation}$	
	3.1 / 4 × 100 = 77.5% (2)	
	Other answers:	
	78% (2)	
	77% (1)	
	77.5 or 78 (1)	

Question	Answer	Marks				
2(a)(ii)	Contribution from each kg of chocolate powder sold from Factory S.	4				
	Contribution = SP – Unit variable cost (1 mark if no relevant calculation)					
	Revenue per kg: $\frac{9.3}{3.1}$ = \$3.00 (1)					
	Raw materials per kg: $\frac{2.79}{3.1}$ = \$0.90					
	Labour per kg: $\frac{1.55}{3.1}$ = \$0.50					
	Transport costs: $\frac{0.62}{3.1} = $ \$0.20					
	Therefore contribution: $3 - 1.60 = 1.40$ (4)					
	(if fixed costs included 2.5 / 3.1 = \$0.81 then 3 marks)					
	Price \$3 (1)					
	Max 1 mark for calculation of 1 or more of following:					
	 Raw material \$0.90 Labour \$0.50 Transport \$0.20 					
	TVC \$1.60 (2)					
	Contribution \$1.40 (4)					
	Contribution 1.40 (3)					
	Revenue 9.3 raw materials 2.79 labour 1.55 transport 0.62 TVC = 4.96 (1) = 4.96 / 3.1 = 1.60 (2)					

Question			Ans	swer		Marks
2(b)				ner information. Recon I. Justify your recomm		12
	Level	Knowledge 2 marks	Application 2 marks	Analysis 4 marks	Evaluation 4 marks	
	2	2 marks Good knowledge shown of factors	2 marks Points well applied to the case	3–4 marks Good use of theory / reasoned argument to explain the advantages and disadvantages of closing factory.	3–4 marks Good judgement shown in answer and conclusion.	
	1	1 mark Knowledge shown of factors	1 mark Some application to the case	1–2 marks Some use of theory / reasoned argument to explain the advantages and disadvantages of closing factory.	1–2 marks Some judgement shown in answer and conclusion.	
	0 No creditable content					
	Answers Knowled • Defi • Gen • Lead	may include: dge nition of centra eral points rela	lisation – bring Ited to factory o ht be needed to	results or other informating all functions togethe closures, such as worke o make the change and eakeven	er in one place. r redundancy	
	-	parison of figu Unit labour cos \$0.5? per kg Transport cost \$0.20	sts lower at Fac per kg is high marketing etc tion levels	ndix 1 ctory N (\$0.3 per kg con er at Factory N \$0.35 co are allocated roughly in	mpared to	

Question	Answer	Marks				
2(b)	Factory S:					
	$\frac{3.1}{4} \times 100 [1] = 77.5\% [1]$					
	Factory N:					
	$\frac{2.2}{2.5} \times 100 [1] = 77.5\% [1]$					
	 Contribution (\$ / breakeven units not required) Factory S: \$3 - \$1.60 = \$1.40 Factory N: \$3 - (\$0.9 + \$0.3 + \$0.35) = \$3 - \$1.55 = \$1.45 Break-even comparisons: Factory S; (Break-even output = Fixed costs / contribution per unit = 2 500 000 / 1.4 = 1 785 714 kg) Factory N; (break-even output = 1 800 000 / 1.45 = 1 241 379 kg) Will Factory S be able to accommodate all production from Factory N? Profit comparisons – Factory S \$1.84 m Factory N \$1.39 m 					
	 Analysis Impact on workers, customers and company as a whole Workers would not easily be able to transfer from Factory N to S due to distance Efficiency gains may lead to lower prices for customers Possible reputational damage due to closing Factory N could lead to reduction in demand. Link to AC's corporate social responsibility – AC believes its 'fair trade' is an important ethical marketing advantage Cost of redundancy payments resulting in short-term strain on AC's cash flow and liquidity Impact on production whilst changes are made could disrupt supplies to customers resulting in reduced demand Implications of loss of contribution from Factory N, which has lower FC and lower break-even 					
	 Evaluation Balance of evidence – for or against? Most important issue e.g. competitive market so need to make efficiency gains for long-term sustainability Some of Adam's other directors are against the idea Future cost rises – faster in Factory S? 					

Question			Ans	wer		Marks	
3	Evaluate the importance of the ethical 'fair trade' principle to the success of the marketing strategy for AC's chocolate powder.						
	Level	Knowledge 2 marks	Application 2 marks	Analysis 6 marks	Evaluation 6 marks		
	2	2 marks Good knowledge shown	2 marks Points well applied to the case	4–6 marks Good use of theory / reasoned argument to explain the likely impact of opportunities and threats	4–6 marks Good judgement shown in answer and conclusion		
	1	1 mark Knowledge shown	1 mark Some application to the case	1–3 marks Some use of theory / reasoned argument to explain the likely impact of opportunities and threats	1–3 marks Some judgement shown in answer and conclusion		
	0 No creditable content						
	a e će Answers Knowleo • Fair adva • Marl mix	Ithough candid mployee treatr ethical' in quest may include: dge trade principle ance to help wi keting strategy	lates who focus nent, can get 1 tion stem. s; paying farme th cash flow , including budg	e, not business ethics in on ethics in general, s knowledge mark, due t rs a 'fair' price and pos et, objectives, integrat e business behaviour	uch as to mention of sibly in		
	busi to us Refe fair t Refe AC p	are essentially ness customer se it on final ch erence to choco rade as compe erences to qual	s might value fa ocolate product olate market bec etitive advantage lity of chocolate developing coun	coming more competiti e	h as the ability ve and link to		

Question	Answer	Marks
3	 Analysis Development of why fair trade may be important or not – will it attract more customers? Do manufacturers really care? Are consumers willing to pay higher prices for fair trade chocolate products? Possible impacts on company image and reputation Evaluation Future use if fair trade is used to market new chocolate products Judgement as to how important fair trade may be – is quality more important? Not so important in developing country but customers of AC likely to include developed country companies where this is increasingly an important issue. Price more important as it is an ingredient? 	

Question	Answer				
4(a)	price of raw materials for	ulate the impact of a 15% incre Factory S on its operating pro and all other cost data remains 2 DP	fit margin in	5	
		Factory S	Mark		
	Operating profit margin	Operating profit / sales revenue × 100	1 if no calculation		
	Sales revenue (\$ m)	9.3			
	Raw materials cost (\$ m)	15% of 2.79 = 0.4185 = 2.79 + 0.42 = 3.21	1 2		
	Labour cost (\$ m) Transport cost (\$ m) Factory fixed costs (\$ m) Allocated fixed costs (\$ m) Total cost	1.55 + 0.62 + 2.00 + 0.50 + 3.21 7.88	3		
	Operating profit	9.3 - 7.88 = 1.42	4		
	OPM	1.42 / 9.3 × 100 = 15.27%	5		
		15.27	4		
	Current OPM	19.78% 19.78	3 2		
	Change in OPM	4.51% 4.51	5 4		

Question		Answer	Marks	
4(b)	Refer to Table 1 and lines (37–43). Calculate AC's gearing ratio that would result from the project to expand Factory S.			
	Gearing OR	= non-current liabilities / capital employed \times 100 (1) = NCL / shareholder equity + NCL \times 100 (1)		
	New gearing	= 21 +7 / 54+8 (8 is forecast addition to capital employed) = 28 / 62 = 45.16% (3) 45.2% (3)		
		45.16 (2) 45.2 (2)		
	OR	= NCL / Shareholder Equity × 100 (1) = 28 / 33 = 84.8% (3)		
		84.8 (2)		
	Other likely a	nswers with errors:		
	28 / 53 = 52.8 21 / 62 = 33.9			
	Allow rounded	nded figures.		
	Current gearii	ng = 21 / 54 × 100 = 38.88% (1) or 21 / 33 = 63.6% (1) If no formula stated		

Question			Ans	wer		Marks		
4(c)		wesa family sh		other information. Eva the business into a p		12		
	Level	Knowledge 2 marks	Application 2 marks	Analysis 4 marks	Evaluation 4 marks			
	2	2 marks Good knowledge shown of factors	2 marks Points well applied to the case	3–4 marks Good use of theory / reasoned argument to explain the advantages and disadvantages of converting to a plc	3–4 marks Good judgement shown in answer and conclusion.			
	1	1 mark Knowledge shown of factors	1 mark Some application to the case	1–2 marks Some use of theory / reasoned argument to explain the advantages and disadvantages of converting to a plc	1–2 marks Some judgement shown in answer and conclusion.			
	0		No cre	ditable content				
	Note: No requirement to refer to results and other issues to access full marks. Do not credit references to 'more ideas from new shareholders' or similar as this is not relevant to PLC.							
	exch • Adva - - - - • Shar	ning of convert nange antages / disad Raising finance Greater divorce PLC. Dilution of Risk of takeove PLC status and re capital is a p	vantages of Lto e from sharehol e between own of control as PLo er increased as d link to long ter ermanent form	ership and control of bu C. PLC m growth of business	usiness as a			
	 Furtl Profi Fam Pote back 	ent gearing cla her borrowing f its have provide ily business for ential attractive ward vertical in	or expansion a ed Lukwesa fan 50 years ness of the bus	below 50%). Link to ex nd predicted increase i nily with good returns iness to chocolate man performance	n interest rates			

Question	Answer	Marks
4(c)	 Analysis Gearing low but expansion funded by borrowing would potentially increase to over 50% and increase in costs impacting profit Risk of takeover increased if plc thus Lukwesa family could lose control of the business As a plc increased tension between shareholders wanting dividends and short term profit v the long term success of AC that Lukwesa family may be more concerned about Ali Lukwesa ambitious for AC and plc conversion could fund his plans for modernisation. Borrowing for expansion can result in greater reward for Lukwesa family Different views of family members. Ali Lukwesa more focused on expansion and modernisation – this may conflict with other family members. 	
	 Short / long term impact on the family and the business Which is most important factor to family members? Reliability of figures? 	

Question			Ans	wer		Marks
5	Evaluate the possible impact on AC of more flexible employment contracts.				16	
	Level	Knowledge 2 marks	Application 2 marks	Analysis 6 marks	Evaluation 6 marks	
	2	2 marks Good knowledge shown	2 marks Points well applied to the case	4–6 marks Good use of theory / reasoned argument to explain the likely impact of flexible working patterns	4–6 marks Good judgement shown in answer and conclusion	
	1	1 mark Knowledge shown	1 mark Some application to the case	1–3 marks Some use of theory / reasoned argument to explain the likely impact of flexible working patterns	1–3 marks Some judgement shown in answer and conclusion	
	0		No cre	ditable content		
	Note: Impact must be on AC. If impact on employees then this should be linked to what it may mean for the business.					
	 Knowledge Meaning of flexible working, short term, zero hours, part-time contracts Using HR to meet the needs of the business Allows a business to operate for more hours Modernisation may mean more automation General impacts on staff leading to impacts on business, e.g. low motivation due to insecurity 					
	empl • AC p need • Wha • Redu N clo	mployment falli loyees on flexit production staff l higher skill lev t are labour su undancies alrea oses act on chocolate	ble contracts are likely to be vel pply conditions		nery may	

Question	Answer	Marks
5	 Analysis If new machinery requires less skilled workers then recruitment of employees for more flexible working more likely to be possible. (Allow candidate reasoning that higher skilled workers required) Impacts on AC as a company, such as de-motivated employees reducing productivity Impact on labour costs – likely to decrease thus making AC more competitive Flexible contracts may result in higher labour turnover leading to an increase in recruitment costs More responsive to changing market conditions 	
	 Evaluation Depends on nature of employees required e.g. skilled v. unskilled Depends on the local labour market factors Depends on effectiveness of HR department Long / short term impacts 	

Question			Answer	Mark		
Questions 6 and 7 use the following marking grid.						
Level	Knowledge 3 marksApplication 3 marksAnalysis 4 marksEvaluation 10 marks					
3				7–10 marks Good judgement throughout with well supported conclusion / recommendations focused on the case		
2	3 marks Good knowledge shown of factors	3 marks Points well applied to the case	3–4 marks Good use of theory / reasoned argument to explain the implications / effectiveness / usefulness	4–6 marks Some judgement shown in the main body of the answer and an attempt to support conclusion. OR Well supported conclusion recommendation focused on the case.		
1	1–2 marks Knowledge shown of factors	1–2 marks Some application to the case	1–2 marks Some use of theory / reasoned argument to explain the implications / effectiveness / usefulness	1–3 marks Some judgement shown in answer and conclusion. Weakly supported conclusion.		
0	No creditable content					

Question 6	Answer				
	Discuss the usefulness of strategic choice techniques for the directors of AC, as they decide between Option A and Option B.				
		Option A – Production of luxury chocolates	Option B – Purchase land and farm cocoa beans		
	Capital cost (\$m)	2	1.5		
	Lead time to set up project (years)	1	3		
	Probability of success (%)	70	80		
	Estimated annual economic return if successful (\$m)	0.8	0.6		
	Driving forces	 Use of own processed chocolate powder Control over marketing of final product 	 Secure cocoa bean supply Good transport links with Factory S 		
	Constraining forces	Lack of expertise in chocolate final processing and retailing	 Lack of expertise in farming cocoa beans 		
	Opportunities	Growing market for chocolate products in the home country and worldwide	 Growing demand for cocoa beans from AC and other companies 		
	Threats	Competition from well-known brands	 Weather and crop disease Over-supply in market for cocoa beans 		
	 Strategic choic Force Field Ar Reference to s such as SWO competencies 	rategic choice as part of strate ce techniques such as Ansoff nalysis and investment apprai strategic analysis techniques T, PEST, Boston matrix, Porte and others. ages management to conside	Matrix, Decision trees, sal when preparing for choice er's Five Forces, Core		

Question	Answer	Marks
6	 Application Use of the techniques / factors for two options – appendix 3 examples Ansoff's matrix – Option A – product development as new products being sold in existing market but also possibly diversification into new markets Option B – diversification market penetration / market development as secure cocoa bean supplies may enable more sales of chocolate powder to existing and possibly new markets Option B has higher probability of success. Less risk. Option A has higher annual economic return – link to shareholder returns Option A capital cost is \$0.5 m greater – link to gearing Force field analysis – what is the balance between driving and restraining forces? Both options face restraining force of lack of expertise Option B will give AC security of supply of cocoa beans link to competitive advantage for AC 	
	 Analysis How techniques may be used and the advantages and disadvantages Better decision making by considering the quantitative aspects of the choices Use of probabilities in decision trees therefore reducing risk for AC of option choice Payback gives focus on time to recover investment which is important to business faced with issues of working capital / liquidity ARR enables AC to choose option with highest return which may be important to shareholders NPV takes account of the time value of money The use of techniques to analyse aspects of the options Evaluation Justification of most useful technique with supporting argument Effective integration of analysis and choice techniques will be important Other information that could be useful? Impact of management objectives and attitudes 	

Question	Answer	Marks
7	Assume AC's directors choose Option A. Evaluate the importance of business planning to the successful implementation of this strategy.	20
	 Knowledge Successful strategic implementation – the process of putting a strategic choice option into action effectively, to the benefit of the business. Nature of strategic management and implementation, such as long term, irreversible change. How implementation fits with strategic choice and analysis Business planning as part of implementation, including mission, corporate objectives and functional business plan sections. 	
	 Application This will be a diversification into production of final chocolate products, rather than the existing production of an ingredient or raw material. How might this fit with AC's current organisational structure? How might AC's mission and objectives change as the move into producing and selling a final product? Use of business planning and corporate objectives in context, e.g. change from selling chocolate powder to existing manufacturers to selling final product to supermarkets, link with marketing objectives. Comment on recent changes such as centralisation and this as a further change needing to be managed The need for a completely new production function and business plan for new chocolate products 	
	 Analysis How strategic implementation techniques may be used and their advantages and disadvantages How setting and updating of new business plan objectives might lead to success, as in high sales of new products The need for constant updating in order to keep up with changes in the fast growing chocolate market How resistance to change may come about, e.g. from existing production employees and how this could be overcome 	
	 Evaluation Will there need to be a culture change? Might there be more need now for contingency planning or are risks not significantly different? What are the main factors that could lead to successful implementation? Other factors such as external factors that need to be considered. 	